

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/750,583	JIANG ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ERIC C. WAI	2195	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ERIC C. WAI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Timothy N Trop (Reg No. 28,994). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 11, 15*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner advised Applicant to amend independent claim 11 witht the subject matter of either dependent claims 13 or 14. Examiner also advised Applicant amend independent claims 1 and 15 to match the limitations of proposed claim combination 11+13 or 11+14 as independent claims 1 and 15 make no mention of the use of a semaphore which is a key component of Applicant's invention. No agreement was reached regarding such proposed amendments.